

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

MAERTENS, et al.

Atty. Ref.: 2551-142

Serial No. 10/822,711

Group: 1634

Filed: April 13, 2004

Examiner: Whisenant

For: PROCESS FOR TYPING OF HCV ISOLATES

* * * * *

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

March 12, 2007

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- ☐ 1. **PTO-1449 Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97(b)**
[within 3 months of filing or prior to 1st Office Action on the merits]
N/C
- ☐ 2.(a) **Statement Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97(c)**
[before Final Office Action or Allowance (requires Rule 97(e)
Statement or Rule 17(p) fee)]
N/C
- ☒ 2.(b) **Fee Payment Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97(c)**
[before Final Office Action or Allowance (requires Rule 97(e)
Statement or Rule 17(p) fee)]
\$180.00
- ☐ 3. **Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97(d)**
[after Final Office Action or Allowance (requires Rule 97(e)
Statement and Rule 17(p) fee), but before final fee payment]
\$180.00

The following are submitted in the above-identified application in compliance with
37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98:

- ☒ 4. A list of documents on Form PTO-1449 together with copies of each
identified document and a translation or a concise explanation of
each non-English language document (such as a Search Report) is

enclosed herewith.

Also attached and listed on the attached LIST OF REFERENCES
CITED BY APPLICANT(S) is a Statement of the Assignee relating to
recent Court proceedings.

This paper is submitted in accordance with:

- ☐ 5. 37 CFR 1.97(b): [within 3 months of filing or prior to 1st Office Action]
- ☒ 6. 37 CFR 1.97(c): [before Final Office Action or Allowance, whichever is earlier];
and
 - ☐ a) The required Statement made in item 8 below; or
 - ☒ b) The \$180.00 fee specified in 37 CFR §1.17(p) for submission of this
Information Disclosure Statement is authorized in item 9 below.
- ☐ 7. 37 CFR §1.97(d): [after Final Office Action or Allowance (requires Rule 97(e)
Statement and Rule 17(p) fee), but before final fee payment]; and
 - ☐ a) The fee (\$180.00) required by 37 CFR §1.17(p) is submitted herewith;
and
 - ☐ b) The required Statement is stated in item 8 below.
- ☐ 8. Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)
 - ☐ a) The undersigned attorney of record hereby certifies under 37 C.F.R.
§1.97(e) that each item of information contained in this Information
Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign
patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three
months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement (each
item contained in this IDS was the first citation of that item by a foreign
patent office in a counterpart foreign application which occurred no more
than three months prior to the filing of this IDS); or
 - ☐ b) No item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement
was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart
foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing this
Statement, after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information
contained in this Statement was known to any individual designated in 37
CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information
Disclosure Statement.
- ☒ 9. Please charge all deficiency fees associated with the submission of this
Information Disclosure Statement and any other fees applicable to this
application to Deposit Account No. 14-1140. An original and one (1) copy of

MAERTENS, et al.
Serial No. 10/822,711

this document are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /B. J. Sadoff/
 B. J. Sadoff
 Reg. No. 36,663

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

Sheet 1 of 6 Form PTO/SB/08A		ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142		APP. NO. 10/822,711	
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S)				APPLICANT(S) Maertens et al.	
Date Submitted: 3-12-2007		FILING DATE April 13, 2004		GROUP 1634	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
*EXAMINER INITIAL	DOC NO.	DATE (M/D/Y)	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)					
	C1	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT. INNOGENETICS V. THIRD WAVE TECHNOLOGIES INC., U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C2	TWT'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIM. INNOGENETICS V. THIRD WAVE TECHNOLOGIES INC., U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C3	TWT'S SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INNOGENETICS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-10); MORE SPECIFICALLY SEE THE LIST ON PAGES 6-7. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C3A	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO THIRD WAVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-11) TO INNOGENETICS N.V.: SEE SPECIFICALLY RESPONSE TO NO. 9. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C4	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C5	COMPLAINT - SUMMONS ISSUED. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C6	ANSWER U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C6	COUNTERCLAIM and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C7	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C8	ABBOTT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C9	ABBOTT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C10	ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM BY PLTF. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C11	ABBOTT LABORATORIES' ANSWERS TO INNOGENETICS FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C12	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO ABBOTT LABORATORIES' FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C13	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C14	DEPOSITION OF CHARLES A. MUSERLIAN, TAKEN 1/11/06. (Muserlian was the US corresponding attorney during prosecution for the '704 patent.) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C15	PLAINTIFFS FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C16	ABBOTT'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS TO INNOGENETICS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C17	ABBOTT LABORATORIES' MOTION TO COMPEL FULL AND COMPLETE INTERROGATORY RESPONSES FROM INNOGENETICS, N.V. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C18	ABBOTT LABORATORIES' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL FULL AND COMPLETE INTERROGATORY RESPONSES FROM INNOGENETICS, N.V. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
	C19	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION TO COMPEL. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005			
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED		

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 2 of 6		3-12-2007	
Form PTO/SB/08A		ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142	APP. NO. 10/822,711
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)			
	C20	AFFIDAVIT OF LISSA R. KOOP. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C21	ABBOTT'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO COMPEL FULL AND COMPLETE INTERROGATORY RESPONSES FROM INNOGENETICS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C22	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONE MOTION HEARING, HELD 3/22/06. (E-NOTICE: SKILTON, CARTER) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C23	ABBOTT'S THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS TO INNOGENETICS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C24	EXPERT REPORT OF DEVANAND JOHN CREASE. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 <i>Signed 4/10/2006</i>	
	C25	EXPERT REPORT OF HOWARD J. WORMAN. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 <i>Signed 4/10/2006</i>	
	C26	ABBOTT'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C27	PROFFER OF WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF DR. GEERT MAERTENS RE: TOPIC 11 OF DEFT. FIRST NOTICE OF DEPOSITION. (filed under SEAL at the district court) Redact p.8, section 24-26. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C28	EXPERT REPORT OF HOWARD J. WORMAN (SECOND). U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 <i>Signed May 2, 2006.</i>	
	C29	EXPERT REPORT OF WILLIAM S. REZNIKOFF ON VALIDITY IN VIEW OF THE CHA PCT APPLICATION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 <i>Signed May 2, 2006.</i>	
	C30	EXPERT REPORT OF MICHAEL SOFOCLEOUS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 <i>Signed May 2, 2006.</i>	
	C31	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C32	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT BY PLTF. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C33	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLTF. MOTION FOR S/J OF NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C34	PROPOSED FINDINGS/CONCL. BY PLTF. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C35	AFFIDAVIT OF MELODY K. GLAZER. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C36	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BY DEFT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C37	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C38	PROPOSED FINDINGS/CONCL. BY DEFT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C39	AFFIDAVIT OF DEVANAND J. CREASE. AFFIDAVIT OF DEVANAND J. CREASE-VOLUME 2. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C40	AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE K. PATTERSON, M.D. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C41	SUPPLEMENT TO EXPERT REPORT OF BRUCE K. PATTERSON, M.D. RE: INVALIDITY OF INNOGENETICS' 704 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C42	MOTION BY PLTF. TO STRIKE SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT OF BRUCE K. PATTERSON, M.D. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C43	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLTF. MOTION TO STRIKE SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT OF BRUCE K. PATTERSON. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C44	ABBOTT'S FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS TO INNOGENETICS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C45	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION TO STRIKE SUPPLEMENT TO EXPERT REPORT OF BRUCE K. PATTERSON. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C46	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION FOR S/J OF INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C47	AFFIDAVIT OF MELODY K. GLAZER IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFT. MOTION FOR S/J OF INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C48	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION FOR S/J OF NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 3 of 6 Form PTO/SB/08A		3-12-2007	ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142	APP. NO. 10/822,711
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)				
		C49	RESPONSE TO PROPOSED S/J FINDINGS/CONCL. BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION FOR S/J. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C50	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION FOR S/J. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C51	RESPONSE TO PROPOSED S/J FINDINGS/CONCL. BY PLTF. (SEALED) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C52	PROPOSED FINDINGS/CONCL. BY PLTF. (SEALED) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C53	AFFIDAVIT OF HOWARD J. WORMAN. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C54	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION (CORRECTED) BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION FOR S/J. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C55	DEPOSITION OF ANN DE CLERCO, TAKEN 3/22/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C56	DEPOSITION OF CATHERINE GROSSET-FOURNIER, TAKEN 3/21/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C57	BRIEF IN REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PLTF. MOTION FOR S/J OF NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C58	AFFIDAVIT OF MELODY K. GLAZER. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C59	BRIEF IN REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION FOR S/J. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C60	DEPOSITION OF DEVANAND JOHN CREASE, TAKEN 4/24/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C61	DEPOSITION OF HOWARD J. WORMAN, TAKEN 4/28/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C62	MOTION BY PLTF. TO STRIKE DEFT. NEW CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM "METHOD OF GENOTYPING" OR LEAVE TO REPLY TO NEW CONSTRUCTION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C63	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLTF. MOTION TO STRIKE DEFT. NEW CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM "METHOD OF GENOTYPING" OR LEAVE TO REPLY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C64	AFFIDAVIT OF MELODY K. GLAZER IN SUPPORT OF PLTF. MOTION TO STRIKE DEFT. NEW TERM METHOD OF GENOTYPING. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C66	INNOGENETICS' SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C66	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION TO STRIKE DEFT. NEW CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ARGUMENT RE: S/J REPLY BRIEF. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C67	ORDER GRANTING PLTF. S/J MOT RE NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT; DEFT. S/J MOT DENIED; BRFG SET ON FEES/COSTS RE INEQUITABLE CONDUCT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C68	MOTION BY DEFT. FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF S/J MOTION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C69	INNOGENETICS' SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO ABBOTT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C70	ABBOTT'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS TO INNOGENETICS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C71	INNOGENETICS' RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' SUPPLEMENTAL PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C72	DECLARATION OF DAVID L. ANSTAETT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' SUPPLEMENTAL PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C73	DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CASE FINDING UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C74	DEFENDANT ABBOTT LABORATORIES' BRIEF SUPPORTING ITS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CASE FINDING UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 285 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C75	DEPOSITION OF HOWARD J. WORMAN, TAKEN 7/21/06 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C76	Abbott's Notice Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C77	Abbott's Amended Notice Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next response to applicant.

Sheet 4 of 6 Form PTO/SB/08A		5-12-2007 ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142	APP. NO. 10/822,711
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)			
	C78	ORDER GRANTING IN PART/DENYING IN PART PLTF. MOT./STRIKE SUPPLMTL. EXPERT REPORT OF DR. PATTERSON; FEES AND COSTS DENIED U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C79	ABBOTT'S RESPONSES TO INNOGENETICS' SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C80	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO S/J DECISION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C81	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT INVENTION IS PIONEERING. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C82	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT INVENTION IS PIONEERING. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C83	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RELATING TO '944 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C84	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RELATING TO '944 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C85	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT CLAIMED METHOD OF GENOTYPING REQUIRES USING MULTIPLE PROBES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C86	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RE: METHOD OF GENOTYPING. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C87	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO LICENSING AGREEMENT RE: '704 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C88	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO LICENSING AGREEMENT RE: '704 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C89	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PATENT LAW. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C90	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PATENT LAW. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C91	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY DEFT. RE: LIABILITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C92	PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT BY DEFT. RE: LIABILITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C93	MOTION IN LIMINE BY PLTF. TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF BRUCE PATTERSON RE: OBVIOUSNESS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C94	MOTION IN LIMINE BY PLTF. TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PROSECUTION OF EUROPEAN PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C95	MOTION IN LIMINE BY PLTF. TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF BRUCE PATTERSON RE: ANTICIPATION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C96	MOTION IN LIMINE BY PLTF. TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF TAI-AN CHA AND THOMAS WHITE. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C97	MOTION IN LIMINE BY PLTF. TO EXCLUDE DEFT. SECTION 112 DEFENSES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C98	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CASE. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C99	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY PLTF. RE: LIABILITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C100	PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT BY PLTF. RE: LIABILITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C101	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY FROM WILLIAM S. REZNIKOFF ON VALIDITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C102	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY FROM WILLIAM S. REZNIKOFF ON VALIDITY. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C103	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE HEARSAY TESTIMONY OF DR. GEERT MAERTENS. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C104	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE HEARSAY TESTIMONY OF DR. GEERT MARTENS. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C105	ORDER GRANTING PLTF. MOTS/AMEND. TO STRIKE NEW CLAIM CONST. TO SUPPL. PROP. FINDINGS; DEFT. S/J MOT. DENIED; CLAIMS CONSTRUED. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C106	EXPERT REPORT OF (SUPPLEMENTAL) OF HOWARD J. WORMAN. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 5 of 6		3-12-2003	
Form PTO/SB/08A		ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142	APP. NO. 10/822,711
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)			
	C107	BRIEF IN REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CASE FINDING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 285. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C108	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOT. IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY FROM WILLIAM REZNIKOFF ON VALIDITY. (filed under SEAL at the district court) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C109	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOT. IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT DECISION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C110	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE HEARSAY TESTIMONY OF GEERT MAERTENS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C111	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE SECTION 112 DEFENSES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C112	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF BRUCE PATTERSON RE: OBVIOUSNESS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C113	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION IN LIMINE RE: ANTICIPATION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C114	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF TAI-AN CHA AND THOMAS WHITE. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C115	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PROSECUTION OF EUROPEAN PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C116	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO LICENSE AGREEMENTS INVOLVING '704 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C117	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RELATING TO '944 PATENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C118	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT INVENTION CLAIMED IS "PIONEERING." U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C119	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PATENT LAW, PRACTICE OR PROCEDURE. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C120	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY PLTF. TO DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RE: "MULTIPLE PROBES." U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C121	ORDERS - FPTC. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C122	TRANSCRIPT OF FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, HELD 8/17/06. (E: NOTICE SENT TO ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD). U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C123	ORDER DENYING DEFT. MOT. IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT CLAIMED METHOD OF GENOTYPING REQUIRES USING MULTIPLE PROBES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C124	NOTIFICATION THAT PLTF. DOES NOT OPPOSE DEFT. MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF HCV QUANTITATIVE ASSAY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C125	DEFT. NOTICE TO COURT OF PLTF. WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C126	PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT BY PLTF. - LIABILITY (REDLINED VERSION) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C127	MOTION BY PLTF. TO CLARIFY COURT CONSTRUCTION OF TERM SPECIFICALLY HYBRIDIZES. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C128	MOTION IN LIMINE BY DEFT. TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO 8/11/06 ORDER. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C129	PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT BY DEFT. RE: LIABILITY - REVISED. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C130	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY DEFT. RE: LIABILITY - REVISED. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C131	INTRODUCTORY JURY INSTRUCTIONS. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C132	PLTF. ADDITIONAL PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION RE: COURT RULING ON INFRINGEMENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C133	DEFT. OBJECTION TO PLTF. PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION REGARDING COURT RULING OF INFRINGEMENT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C134	DEFT. REVISED PROPOSED POST-TRIAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON INVALIDITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
	C135	MOTION BY PLTF. FOR A CURATIVE INSTRUCTION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 6 of 6 Form PTO/SB/08A		3-12-2007	ATTY DOCKET NO. 2551-142	APP. NO. 10/822,711
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)				
		C136	TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY OF TRIAL (EXCLUDING VOIR DIRE), HELD 8/28/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C137	TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF TRIAL MORNING SESSION - VOLUME 1, HELD 8/29/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C138	TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF TRIAL MORNING SESSION - VOLUME 2, HELD 8/29/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C139	TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF TRIAL AFTERNOON SESSION - VOLUME 3, HELD 8/29/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C140	BRIEF IN OPPOSITION BY DEFT. TO PLTF. MOTION FOR A CURATIVE INSTRUCTION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C141	TRANSCRIPT OF THIRD DAY JURY TRIAL U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C142	TRANSCRIPT OF FOURTH DAY OF JURY TRIAL U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C143	POST-TRIAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS - INVALIDITY, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C144	SPECIAL VERDICT - LIABILITY, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C145	TRANSCRIPT OF FIFTH DAY OF JURY TRIAL, HELD 9/1/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C146	TRANSCRIPT OF SIXTH DAY JURY TRIAL, VOLUME 1, 2, HELD 9/5/2006. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C147	TRANSCRIPT OF SEVENTH DAY OF JURY TRIAL, MORNING SESSION - VOLUME 1, 2, HELD 9/6/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C148	TRANSCRIPT OF EIGHTH DAY OF JURY TRIAL - VOLUME I, II, III, HELD 9/7/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C149	TRANSCRIPT OF NINTH DAY OF JURY TRIAL - VOLUME I, II, III HELD 9/8/06. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C150	SPECIAL VERDICT DAMAGES, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C151	JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLTF. FINDING WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT BY DEFT. AND AWARDING DAMAGES OF \$7,000,000. (BBC/TMO) CC MLD. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C152	MOTION BY DEFT. FOR NEW TRIAL ON ISSUES OF INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C153	BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFT. MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL ON ISSUES OF INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C154	INNOGENETICS' BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO ABBOTT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL ON THE ISSUES OF INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY (TRIAL PHASE I) U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C155	REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ABBOTT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL ON THE ISSUES OF INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY (TRIAL PHASE I), U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
		C156	OPINION AND ORDER RE NEW TRIAL ON THE ISSUES OF INFRINGEMENT, INVALIDITY, DAMAGES, WILLFULNESS AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION. U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, CASE NO. 05C 0574 C, FILED SEPTEMBER 29, 2005	
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.